

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL HHPD FY2020

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA’s evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The Plan Assessment identifies the plan’s strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

Jurisdiction: Bucks County, Pennsylvania	Title of Plan: Bucks County 2021 Hazard Mitigation Plan Update	Date of Plan: July 15, 2021
Local Point of Contact: Evan Stone		Address: 1260 Almshouse Road, Doylestown, Pennsylvania 18901
Title: Executive Director		
Agency: Bucks County Planning Commission		
Phone Number: (215) 345-3401		
		E-Mail: estone@buckscounty.org

State Reviewer: Ernest Szabo Telephone: (717) 651-2159 Email: erszabo@pa.gov	Title: PEMA State Hazard Mitigation Planner	Date:
--	---	--------------

FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Date Received in FEMA Region <i>(insert #)</i>		
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption		
Plan Approved		

**SECTION 1:
REGULATION CHECKLIST**

INSTRUCTIONS: The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met.’ The ‘Required Revisions’ summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is ‘Not Met.’ Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS				
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	Section 3, <i>Planning Process</i> , pgs. 47-59			
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	Section 3.1, <i>Update Process and Participation Summary</i> , pgs. 47-48 Section 3.2, <i>The Planning Team</i> , pgs. 48-53 Section 3.3, <i>Meetings and Documentation</i> , pgs. 53-54 Appendix C			
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	Section 3.4, <i>Public & Stakeholder Participation</i> , pgs. 54-56 Section 3.5, <i>Multi-Jurisdictional Planning</i> , pgs. 56-59			

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	<p>Section 1.4, <i>Authority and Reference</i>, pgs. 24-25</p> <p>Section 2.4, <i>Land Use and Development</i>, pgs. 37-40</p> <p>Section 5.2.1, <i>Planning and Regulatory Capability</i>, pgs. 343-364</p> <p>Section 5.2.5, <i>Plan Integration</i>, pgs. 371-382</p>			
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))	Section 7, <i>Plan Maintenance</i> , pgs. 464-465			
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))	<p><i>Certification of Annual Review Meetings</i>, pg. ii</p> <p><i>Record of Changes</i>, pg. iii</p> <p>Section 7, <i>Plan Maintenance</i>, pgs. 464-465</p>			
<u>ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT				
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Section 4.3, <i>Hazard Profiles</i> , pgs. 73-326 Each hazard has a section titled <i>Location and Extent</i> . The same process was applied to human-made hazards as well.			

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Section 4.3, <i>Hazard Profiles</i> , pgs. 73-326 Each hazard has sections titled <i>Past Occurrence</i> and <i>Future Occurrence</i> accompanied by tables and mapping of data.			
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard’s impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community’s vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Section 4.3, <i>Hazard Profiles</i> , pgs. 73-326 Each hazard has a section titled <i>Vulnerability Assessment</i> .			
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Section 4.3.4, <i>Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam</i> , pgs. 106-159 (specifically Table 4.3.4-5, pg. 137)			
<u>ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY				
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	Section 5, <i>Capability Assessment</i> , pgs. 341-382			
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction’s participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section 4.3.4, <i>Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam</i> , pgs. 106-159 (specifically Tables 4.3.4-3, pg. 116 and 4.3.4-4, pg. 133) Section 5.2.1.3, <i>Participation in the National Flood Insurance Program</i> , pgs. 359-364 (specially Table 5.2-5, pg. 360)			
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	Section 6.2, <i>Mitigation Goals and Objectives</i> , pgs. 411-412			

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section 6.4, <i>Mitigation Action Plan</i> , pgs. 414-463 (specifically Table 6.4-1, pg. 415)			
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	Section 6.4, <i>Mitigation Action Plan</i> , pgs. 414-463 (specifically Table 6.4-2, pg. 451)			
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	Section 5.2, <i>Capability Assessment Findings</i> , pgs. 342-382 Section 7, <i>Plan Maintenance</i> , pgs. 464-465			
<u>ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION (applicable to plan updates only)				
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 2.4, <i>Land Use and Development</i> , pgs. 27-40 Section 4.4.4, <i>Future Development and Vulnerability</i> , pgs. 338-340			
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 6.1, <i>Update Process Summary</i> , pgs. 383-410 (specifically Table 6.1-2, pg. 386 includes revising details and updates of each mitigation action)			

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 4.4.2, <i>Ranking Results</i> , pgs. 329-334 Section 6.4 <i>Mitigation Action Plan</i> , pgs. 414-463 (specifically Table 6.4-2, pg. 451)			
<u>ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION				
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	Once the Plan is approved by PEMA/FEMA, Bucks County will adopt the Plan by resolution, Section 8, <i>Plan Adoption</i> , pgs. 466-468			
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	Once Bucks County adopts the Plan by resolution, the municipalities will adopt the plan by resolution, Section 8, <i>Plan Adoption</i> , pgs. 466-468			
<u>ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
OPTIONAL: HIGH HAZARD POTENTIAL DAM RISKS				
HHPD1. Did Element A4 (planning process) describe the incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information for eligible high hazard potential dams?	Section 5.2.1 <i>Planning and Regulatory Capability</i> , pgs. 343-363			
HHPD2. Did Element B3 (risk assessment) address eligible high hazard potential dams in the risk assessment?	Appendix G			
HHPD3. Did Element C3 (mitigation goals) include mitigation goals to reduce long-term vulnerabilities from eligible high hazard potential dams that pose an unacceptable risk to the public?	Section 6.2, <i>Mitigation Goals and Objective</i> , pg. 411-412 (specifically Table 6.2-1, pg. 411)			

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
HHPD4. Did Elements C4-C5 (mitigation actions) address HHPDs prioritize mitigation actions to reduce vulnerabilities from eligible high hazard potential dams that pose an unacceptable risk to the public?		Section 6.4 <i>Mitigation Action Plan</i> , pgs. 414-463 (specifically Tables 6.4-1, pg. 415 and 6.4-2, pg. 451)		
<u>REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)				
F1.				
F2.				
<u>ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				

SECTION 2: PLAN ASSESSMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of the Plan Assessment is to offer the local community more comprehensive feedback to the community on the quality and utility of the plan in a narrative format. The audience for the Plan Assessment is not only the plan developer/local community planner, but also elected officials, local departments and agencies, and others involved in implementing the Local Mitigation Plan. The Plan Assessment must be completed by FEMA. The Assessment is an opportunity for FEMA to provide feedback and information to the community on: 1) suggested improvements to the Plan; 2) specific sections in the Plan where the community has gone above and beyond minimum requirements; 3) recommendations for plan implementation; and 4) ongoing partnership(s) and information on other FEMA programs, specifically RiskMAP and Hazard Mitigation Assistance programs. The Plan Assessment is divided into two sections:

1. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement
2. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement is organized according to the plan Elements listed in the Regulation Checklist. Each Element includes a series of italicized bulleted items that are suggested topics for consideration while evaluating plans, but it is not intended to be a comprehensive list. FEMA Mitigation Planners are not required to answer each bullet item and should use them as a guide to paraphrase their own written assessment (2-3 sentences) of each Element.

The Plan Assessment must not reiterate the required revisions from the Regulation Checklist or be regulatory in nature and should be open-ended and to provide the community with suggestions for improvements or recommended revisions. The recommended revisions are suggestions for improvement and are not required to be made for the Plan to meet Federal regulatory requirements. The italicized text should be deleted once FEMA has added comments regarding strengths of the plan and potential improvements for future plan revisions. It is recommended that the Plan Assessment be a short synopsis of the overall strengths and weaknesses of the Plan (no longer than two pages), rather than a complete recap section by section.

Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan provides a place for FEMA to offer information, data sources and general suggestions on the plan implementation and maintenance process. Information on other possible sources of assistance including, but not limited to, existing publications, grant funding or training opportunities, can be provided. States may add state and local resources, if available.

A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

This section provides a discussion of the strengths of the plan document and identifies areas where these could be improved beyond minimum requirements.

Element A: Planning Process

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the planning process with respect to:

- *Involvement of stakeholders (elected officials/decision makers, plan implementers, business owners, academic institutions, utility companies, water/sanitation districts, etc.);*
- *Involvement of Planning, Emergency Management, Public Works Departments or other planning agencies (i.e., regional planning councils);*
- *Diverse methods of participation (meetings, surveys, online, etc.); and*
- *Reflective of an open and inclusive public involvement process.*

Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment

In addition to the requirements listed in the Regulation Checklist, 44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans identifies additional elements that should be included as part of a plan's risk assessment. The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of:

- 1) *A general description of land uses and future development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions;*
- 2) *The types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas; and*
- 3) *A description of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate.*

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment with respect to:

- *Use of best available data (flood maps, HAZUS, flood studies) to describe significant hazards;*
- *Communication of risk on people, property, and infrastructure to the public (through tables, charts, maps, photos, etc.);*
- *Incorporation of techniques and methodologies to estimate dollar losses to vulnerable structures;*
- *Incorporation of Risk MAP products (i.e., depth grids, Flood Risk Report, Changes Since Last FIRM, Areas of Mitigation Interest, etc.); and*
- *Identification of any data gaps that can be filled as new data became available.*

Element C: Mitigation Strategy

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the Mitigation Strategy with respect to:

- *Key problems identified in, and linkages to, the vulnerability assessment;*
- *Serving as a blueprint for reducing potential losses identified in the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment;*
- *Plan content flow from the risk assessment (problem identification) to goal setting to mitigation action development;*
- *An understanding of mitigation principles (diversity of actions that include structural projects, preventative measures, outreach activities, property protection measures, post-disaster actions, etc);*
- *Specific mitigation actions for each participating jurisdiction that reflects their unique risks and capabilities;*
- *Integration of mitigation actions with existing local authorities, policies, programs, and resources; and*
- *Discussion of existing programs (including the NFIP), plans, and policies that could be used to implement mitigation, as well as document past projects.*

Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (Plan Updates Only)

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the 5-year Evaluation and Implementation measures with respect to:

- *Status of previously recommended mitigation actions;*
- *Identification of barriers or obstacles to successful implementation or completion of mitigation actions, along with possible solutions for overcoming risk;*
- *Documentation of annual reviews and committee involvement;*
- *Identification of a lead person to take ownership of, and champion the Plan;*
- *Reducing risks from natural hazards and serving as a guide for decisions makers as they commit resources to reducing the effects of natural hazards;*
- *An approach to evaluating future conditions (i.e. socio-economic, environmental, demographic, change in built environment etc.);*
- *Discussion of how changing conditions and opportunities could impact community resilience in the long term; and*
- *Discussion of how the mitigation goals and actions support the long-term community vision for increased resilience.*

B. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Ideas may be offered on moving the mitigation plan forward and continuing the relationship with key mitigation stakeholders such as the following:

- *What FEMA assistance (funding) programs are available (for example, Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA)) to the jurisdiction(s) to assist with implementing the mitigation actions?*
- *What other Federal programs (National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Community Rating System (CRS), Risk MAP, etc.) may provide assistance for mitigation activities?*
- *What publications, technical guidance or other resources are available to the jurisdiction(s) relevant to the identified mitigation actions?*
- *Are there upcoming trainings/workshops (Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA), HMA, etc.) to assist the jurisdictions(s)?*
- *What mitigation actions can be funded by other Federal agencies (for example, U.S. Forest Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Smart Growth, Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Sustainable Communities, etc.) and/or state and local agencies?*

**SECTION 3:
MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (OPTIONAL)**

INSTRUCTIONS: For multi-jurisdictional plans, a Multi-jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet may be completed by listing each participating jurisdiction, which required Elements for each jurisdiction were 'Met' or 'Not Met,' and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it should be used as an optional worksheet to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E).

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
1	Bedminster	Township	Michael T. Tuttle	200 Live Oak Drive	mik088@yahoo.com	267-377-0103	Y		Y	Y		
2	Bensalem	Township	Lieutenant Robert Race	2400 Byberry Road	rrace@bensalempa.gov	215-633-3722	Y	Y	Y	Y		
3	Bridgeton	Township	Sean Hutchinson	131 Byers Road	seanfutch@gmail.com	973-307-5943	Y					
4	Bristol	Borough	J. Merle Winslow	738 Corson Street	merlwin@netzero.net	215-788-5618	Y	Y		Y		
5	Bristol	Township	Kevin Dippolito	2501 Bath Road	kdippolito@bristoltownship.org	215-785-0500	Y	Y		Y		
6	Buckingham	Township	James M. Kettler	3981 Miriam Dr.	contact@buckinghampa.org	215-766-8527	Y		Y			

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
7	Chalfont	Borough	Daniel Jenkins	40 North Main Street	djenkins@chalfontborough.com	215-822-7295	Y	Y	Y	Y		
8	Doylestown	Borough	Scott Fleischer	57 West Court Street	sfleischer@doylestownborough.net	215-345-4140	Y	Y	Y	Y		
9	Doylestown	Township	Sinclair Salisbury	425 Wells Road	ssalisbury@doylestownpa.org	215-348-9915	Y	Y	Y	Y		
10	Dublin	Borough	M. Philip Myers	P.O. Box 181	lhagey@dublinborough.org	215-249-3223	Y			Y		
11	Durham	Township	Ed Child	PO Box 4	zoning@durhamtownship.org	610-346-9211	Y			Y		
12	East Rockhill	Township	Jeff Scholl	1622 N. Ridge Rd	Works@EastRockhillTownship.org	215-257-9156	Y	Y	Y	Y		
13	Falls	Township	Richard Dippolito	189 Lincoln Highway, Suite 100	r.dippolito@fallstwp.com	215-949-9000	Y		Y			
14	Haycock	Township	Henry DePue	968 Deerwood Ln	hdepue@haycocktownship.com	267-424-0726	Y	Y	Y	Y		
15	Hilltown	Township	Thomas Loudon	1017 Morgan Land	tloud1@icloud.com	267-640-6904	Y	Y	Y	Y		
16	Hulmeville	Borough	William Wheeler	310 Reetz Avenue	w.wheeler@hulmeville-pa.gov	215-702-1511	Y	Y				

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
17	Ivyland	Borough	James Cantore	1270 Jacksonville Road	tenor013@aol.com	215-264-9111	Y					
18	Langhorne	Borough	Frank Farry	203 National Avenue	manager@langhorneborough.com	267-446-1288	Y	Y				
19	Langhorne Manor	Borough	William McTigue	303 W. Highland Avenue	borooffice@comcast.net	267-393-0320	Y	Y		Y		
20	Lower Makefield	Township	Kenneth Coluzzi	1100 Edgewood Road	kenc@lmt.org	267-274-1150	Y	Y		Y		
21	Lower Southampton	Township	Ryan Smith	1500 Desire Avenue	rsmith@lstwp.org	215-357-7300	Y	Y		Y		
22	Middletown	Township	James McGuire	3 Muncipal Way	jm McGuire@middletownbuks.org	215-750-3800	Y	Y				
23	Milford	Township	Joshua Mallery	1820 Klines Mill Road	jmallery@quakertown.org	267-372-3799	Y	Y	Y			
24	Morrisville	Borough	George McClay	35 Union Street	g.mcclay@morrisvillepolice.org	215-295-8181						
25	New Britain	Borough	Mike Italia	45 Keeley Avenue	mitalia@barryisett.com	215-348-4586	Y					
26	New Britain	Township	Michael Walsh	207 Park Avenue	mw Walsh@newbritaintownship.org	215-822-1391				Y		

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
27	New Hope	Borough	Michael V. Cummings	125 New St	chief@newhopepd.org	215-862-3033	Y					
28	Newtown	Borough	Glenn Forsyth	100 Municipal Drive	glenn@twp.newtown.pa.us	215-968-2800				Y		
29	Newtown	Township	Jean Bail	209 Bishop Hollow Road	Philc@newtownpa.gov	215-968-2800	Y		Y	Y		
30	Nockamixon	Township	Edward Mocarsi	387 Lake Warren Road	mocarsi@epix.net	610-847-6874	Y	Y	Y	Y		
31	Northampton	Township	Frank Fenton	55 Township Road	ffenton@nhtwp.org	215-357-6800	Y	Y				
32	Pennel	Borough	Nicholas Foufas	326 Bellevue Avenue	penndelfm@gmail.com	267-688-0226	Y			Y		
33	Perkasie	Borough	Cheryleen Strothers	425 S Ridge Road, PO Box 17	sreichmansr@verizon.net	215-257-6599		Y				
34	Plumstead	Township	Scott Fleischer	5186 Stump Road	abenner@plumstead.gov	215-766-8914	Y	Y	Y	Y		
35	Quakertown	Borough	Douglas Wilhelm	35 North Third Street	dwilhelm@quakertown.org	215-536-5001						
36	Richland	Township	Michael Kisthardt	229 California Road	mikek@richlandtownship.org	215-536-9500	Y	Y				

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
37	Richlandtown	Borough	Calvin Trovinger	125 South Main Street	Calvin.Trovinger@richlandtownborough.org	215-538-9290				Y		
38	Riegelsville	Borough	Frank Preedy	237 Linden Lane	fxpreedy@verizon.net	610-749-0887	Y	Y		Y		
39	Sellersville	Borough	Craig A. Wilhelm	541 Newbury Court	craigwilhelm75@comcast.net	215-257-2384	Y	Y		Y		
40	Silverdale	Borough	Eileen M. Bradley	140 East Church Street	manager@sellersvilleboro.org	215-257-5075		Y	Y	Y		
41	Solebury	Township	Clair Black	P.O. Box 45	soleburymanager@soleburytp.org	215-257-5240	Y	Y		Y		
42	Springfield	Township	James J. Kuhn	3092 Sugas Road, PO Box 139	manager@springfieldbucks.org	215-297-5656	Y	Y	Y	Y		
43	Telford	Borough	Tony Maguire	2320 Township Road	info@springfieldbucks.org	267-372-2660	Y			Y		
44	Tinicum	Township	Joan Tanner	164 Municipal Road	jtanner@tinicumbucks.org	610-294-9154	Y	Y	Y	Y		
45	Trumbauersville	Borough	Marilyn J. Bobb	2090 Portzer Road	m_rbobb@verizon.net	215-536-2518	Y	Y	Y	Y		
46	Tullytown	Borough	Daniel Doyle	500 Main Street	doyle@tullytownpd.org	215-945-0999	Y					

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
47	Upper Makefield	Township	Robert Kay, Sr.	1076 Eagle Road	uppermakefieldema@gmail.com	215-968-3340	Y	Y	Y	Y		
48	Upper Southampton	Township	Mark Showmaker	939 Street Road	mshowmaker@ustwp.org	215-322-9700	Y	Y		Y		
49	Warminster	Township	Joseph Velten	401 Gibson Avenue	jvelten@warminsterpa.org	215-443-5414	Y	Y	Y	Y		
50	Warrington	Township	Lee Greenberg	852 Easton Road	lgreenberg@warringtontownship.org	267-228-5215	Y	Y		Y		
51	Warwick	Township	Chief Mark Goldberg	1733 Township Greene	mgoldberg@warwickpolice.org	215-343-6102	Y	Y		Y		
52	West Rockhill	Township	Greg Lippincott	1028 Ridge Road	manager@westrockhilltownship.org	215-257-9063	Y	Y	Y	Y		
53	Wrightstown	Township	Edward Middleman	2203 Second Street Pike	tedm@wrightstownpa.org	215-598-3313	Y			Y		
54	Yardley	Borough	Wes Foraker	56 South Main Street	wforaker@yardleyboro.com	215-493-6832	Y	Y	Y	Y		

